

NAME OF INSTITUTION (Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Lower East Side People's Federal	Credit Union

Point of Contact:	Linda Levy	RSSD: (For Bank Holding Companies)	0
UST Sequence Number:	1374	Docket Number: (For Thrift Holding Companies)	
CPP/CDCI Funds Received:	898,000	FDIC Certificate Number: (For Depository Institutions)	
CPP/CDCI Funds Repaid to Date:		Credit Union Charter Number: (For Credit Unions)	24232
Date Funded (first funding):	September 24, 2010	City:	New York
Date Repaid <sup>1</sup> :	N/A	State:	New York

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP) and Community Development Capital Initiative (CDCI). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP/CDCI investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP/CDCI investment was deployed or how many CPP/CDCI dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP/CDCI capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP/CDCI funds were outstanding).

v	Increase landing or reduce landing less than athermics would have accurred
	Increase lending or reduce lending less than otherwise would have occurred.
	Since we did not receive CDCI capital until late in 2010, this is an increase we are expecting, but has not yet occurred.

X To the extent the funds supported increased lending, please describe the major type of loans, if possible (residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, small business loans, etc.).

The major types of loan increase that we anticipate are mortgages to income and resale restricted cooperatively owned buildings and small businesses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.



NAME OF INSTITUTION (Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

LOV	ver East Side People's Federal Credit Union
	Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).
	Make other investments.
	Increase reserves for non-performing assets.
Х	Reduce borrowings.
	The CDCI capital provided liquidity that allowed us to reduce our borrowings to zero. In addition to the CDCI funding itself, we also
	reduced borrowing through acceptance of additional deposits.



NAME OF INSTITUTION (Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Lov	wer East Side People's Federal Credit Union
	_
	Increase charge-offs.
	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution.
	_
Х	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital.
	Due to the boost in our capital that we received from the CDCI funds, we are able to increase deposits without lowering our capital to
	below well-funded levels as defined by the NCUA. (7%)



NAME OF INSTITUTION (Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Lower East Side People's Federal Credit Union

What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP/CDCI funds?
The increase to our capital ratio helped us avoid many actions that we might have otherwise been forced to take. Prior to receiving the CDCI funds, our capital ratio was just over 8%; 7% is the required minimum to be considered well-capitalized by the NCUA. In addition, our lack of liquidity was a significant issue. In August 2010, the month before we received our CDCI funding, our loan to share ratio was 126%. The most obvious solution to that problem, increase in shares, was not possible for us, as a significant increase in shares would have caused our capital ratio to drop. If our capital ratio dropped below 7%, it would trigger Prompt Corrective Action and the inevitable spiral downwards. The only options open to us were to either borrow extensively or sell off some of our loans in the form of participations with other credit unions. Both of these options would have significantly cut into our income, just as we were recovering from a period of cutbacks and lowered salaries. In addition, to maintain our capital ratio, we would have had to return non-member deposits, thereby creating additional liquidity problems. As a result of receiving the CDCI secondary capital funds, we were able to avoid these measures and continue to grow without facing a negative impact on our capital.



NAME OF INSTITUTION (Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Lower East Side People's Federal Credit Union

were able to accept additional deposits without lowering our capital ratio. We are now ab	le to market more extensively to increase
mbership, since we can now accept more deposits. This is fortunate because Americans ar	
er time in history, and we can take advantage of this growth.	,
ar time in motor, y, and we can take data mage or time growth	



NAME OF INSTITUTION (Include Holding Company Where Applicable)

Lower East Side People's Federal Credit Union

in large part to the C	OCI capital, we have been ap	pproached by other p	otential funders to furt	ther increase our capital.	